

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/653,550	STANOI ET AL.
	Examiner Shew-Fen Lin	Art Unit 2166

**All Participants:**

(1) Shew-Fen Lin.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Victor Grossman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 August 2006

**Time:** 10:15 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 5, 9, and 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*


**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative about a potential amendment in order to overcome the 101 and 112 rejection. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's amendment..